

A non-local Fokker-Planck equation related to the Becker-Döring model

André Schlichting

Institute for Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn

joint work with José Cañizo and Joseph Conlon

Oberseminar Analysis, Leipzig

April 18, 2017



1. Thermodynamics and modeling
2. Well-posedness and regularity
3. Energy-dissipation, gradient structure and longtime behaviour
4. Rate of convergence to equilibrium (subcritical)

1. Thermodynamics and modeling

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int Wc_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ subcritical and $\rho > \rho_s$ coarsening and nucleation.

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int Wc_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ subcritical and $\rho > \rho_s$ coarsening and nucleation.

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int Wc_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ **subcritical** and $\rho > \rho_s$ **coarsening and nucleation**.

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int Wc_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ subcritical and $\rho > \rho_s$ coarsening and nucleation.

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int Wc_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ subcritical and $\rho > \rho_s$ coarsening and nucleation.

Goal: A dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets

Modeling ingredients:

- $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ cluster volume distribution
- $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affinity of phase transformation ($\theta = 0$ equilibrium)
- $W : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ bulk energy per volume $\approx 1 + x$
- $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ surface energy per volume $\approx (1 + x)^{2/3}$
- $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ reactivity per volume $\approx (1 + x)^\alpha$, $\alpha = 2/3$

Modeling assumptions: θ is proportional to gaseous phase

total conservation of mass $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

local Gibbs distribution: $c_\theta(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$

Stationary affinity: Critical equilibrium volume density: $\rho_s := \int W a^{-1} e^{-V} < \infty$

For $\rho \in (-\infty, \rho_s]$ let $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$ be defined by $\theta_{\text{eq}} + \int W c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}} = \rho$

For $\rho > \rho_s$ set $\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho) = 0$.

Two regimes: $\rho \leq \rho_s$ **subcritical** and $\rho > \rho_s$ **coarsening and nucleation**.

Fokker-Planck model

Dynamic evolution of cluster size distribution relative to local Gibbs distribution

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \\ c_{\theta}(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x)) \\ c(0, t) = c_{\theta(t)}(0) \\ \rho = \theta(t) + \int W(x) c(x, t) dx \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with } c(x, 0) = c^0(x) \\ \text{local Gibbs distribution} \\ \text{thermodynamic consistent} \\ \text{mass conservation} \end{array} \quad (\text{FP})$$

Expanded (Itô) form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(\partial_x (a(x) c(x, t)) + \overbrace{a(x) (V'(x) - \theta(t) W'(x))}^{=: -b(x, t)} c(x, t) \right).$$

Assumptions on potentials

- $a(\cdot)$, $V(\cdot)$, $W(\cdot)$ are C^2 with uniformly bounded second derivatives.
- $W(\cdot)$ is increasing with $W(0) > 0$ and at least linear growth at ∞
- $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} a(x) \geq c_0 > 0$
- V' , $(\log a)'$ and V'' , W'' , $(\log a)''$ are dominated by W' and $(W')^2$, respectively
- The function $\frac{W}{a} \exp(-V)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^+

Fokker-Planck model

Dynamic evolution of cluster size distribution relative to local Gibbs distribution

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \\ c_{\theta}(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x)) \\ c(0, t) = c_{\theta(t)}(0) \\ \rho = \theta(t) + \int W(x) c(x, t) dx \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with } c(x, 0) = c^0(x) \\ \text{local Gibbs distribution} \\ \text{thermodynamic consistent} \\ \text{mass conservation} \end{array} \quad \text{(FP)}$$

Expanded (Itô) form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(\partial_x (a(x) c(x, t)) + \overbrace{a(x) (V'(x) - \theta(t) W'(x))}^{=: -b(x, t)} c(x, t) \right).$$

Assumptions on potentials

- $a(\cdot)$, $V(\cdot)$, $W(\cdot)$ are C^2 with uniformly bounded second derivatives.
- $W(\cdot)$ is increasing with $W(0) > 0$ and at least linear growth at ∞
- $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} a(x) \geq c_0 > 0$
- V' , $(\log a)'$ and V'' , W'' , $(\log a)''$ are dominated by W' and $(W')^2$, respectively
- The function $\frac{W}{a} \exp(-V)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^+

Fokker-Planck model

Dynamic evolution of cluster size distribution relative to local Gibbs distribution

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \quad \text{with } c(x, 0) = c^0(x) \\ c_{\theta}(x) = a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x)) \quad \text{local Gibbs distribution} \\ c(0, t) = c_{\theta(t)}(0) \quad \text{thermodynamic consistent} \\ \rho = \theta(t) + \int W(x) c(x, t) dx \quad \text{mass conservation} \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{FP})$$

Expanded (Itô) form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(\partial_x (a(x) c(x, t)) + \overbrace{a(x) (V'(x) - \theta(t) W'(x))}^{=: -b(x, t)} c(x, t) \right).$$

Assumptions on potentials

- $a(\cdot)$, $V(\cdot)$, $W(\cdot)$ are C^2 with uniformly bounded second derivatives.
- $W(\cdot)$ is increasing with $W(0) > 0$ and at least linear growth at ∞
- $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} a(x) \geq c_0 > 0$
- V' , $(\log a)'$ and V'' , W'' , $(\log a)''$ are dominated by W' and $(W')^2$, respectively
- The function $\frac{W}{a} \exp(-V)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^+

2. Well-posedness

W.l.o.g. $a \equiv 1$ by a change of variable $x \mapsto \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{\sqrt{a(x'')}}$

Adjoint problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w(x, t) + \partial_{xx} w(x, t) + b(x, t) \partial_x w(x, t) = 0 \\ w(x, T) = w_0(x) \\ w(0, t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Adj})$$

\Rightarrow classical solutions $w \in C_{t,x}^{1;2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ for $b \in C_{t,x}^{0;2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Testing and integrating (FP) by w yields formulation for measure valued solutions.

Definition (weak formulation)

The pair (c, θ) with $c(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ is a solution to (FP) if for all w solution to (Adj) with $w_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $T > 0$ holds

$$\int w_0(x) c(x, T) dx = \int w(x, 0) c^0(x) dx + \int_0^T \partial_x w(0, t) e^{-V(0) + \theta(t)W(0)} dt. \quad (\text{weak})$$

W.l.o.g. $a \equiv 1$ by a change of variable $x \mapsto \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{\sqrt{a(x'')}}$

Adjoint problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w(x, t) + \partial_{xx} w(x, t) + b(x, t) \partial_x w(x, t) = 0 \\ w(x, T) = w_0(x) \\ w(0, t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{Adj})$$

\Rightarrow classical solutions $w \in C_{t,x}^{1;2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ for $b \in C_{t,x}^{0;2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.

Testing and integrating (FP) by w yields formulation for measure valued solutions.

Definition (weak formulation)

The pair (c, θ) with $c(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ is a solution to (FP) if for all w solution to (Adj) with $w_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $T > 0$ holds

$$\int w_0(x) c(x, T) dx = \int w(x, 0) c^0(x) dx + \int_0^T \partial_x w(0, t) e^{-V(0) + \theta(t)W(0)} dt. \quad (\text{weak})$$

Well-posedness: Fixed point argument

Basic properties of adjoint problem for a continuous function θ with $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq \theta_\infty$:

- Let $w_0(x) = W(x)$ then for any $T < T_0$

$$w(x, 0) \leq C(T_0, \theta_\infty)W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \partial_x w(0, t) \leq \frac{C(T_0, \theta_\infty)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

- Let w^0 and w^1 two such solutions for θ^0 and θ^1 , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |w^1(x, t) - w^0(x, t)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty \sqrt{T-t} W(x) \\ |\partial_x w^1(x, T) - \partial_x w^0(x, T)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point map

$$\mathcal{B}\theta(t) := \rho - \int_0^\infty W(x)c(x, t) dx$$

From the weak formulation follows the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\theta^1(T) - \mathcal{B}\theta^0(T) &= \int_0^\infty [w^1(x, 0) - w^0(x, 0)]c(x, 0) dx \\ &+ \int_0^T [\partial_x w^1(0, t) - \partial_x w^0(0, t)] \exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \partial_x w^0(0, t) (\exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] - \exp[-V(0) + \theta^0(t)W(0)]) dt \end{aligned}$$

Well-posedness: Fixed point argument

Basic properties of adjoint problem for a continuous function θ with $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq \theta_\infty$:

- Let $w_0(x) = W(x)$ then for any $T < T_0$

$$w(x, 0) \leq C(T_0, \theta_\infty)W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \partial_x w(0, t) \leq \frac{C(T_0, \theta_\infty)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

- Let w^0 and w^1 two such solutions for θ^0 and θ^1 , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |w^1(x, t) - w^0(x, t)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty \sqrt{T-t} W(x) \\ |\partial_x w^1(x, T) - \partial_x w^0(x, T)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point map

$$\mathcal{B}\theta(t) := \rho - \int_0^\infty W(x)c(x, t) dx$$

From the weak formulation follows the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\theta^1(T) - \mathcal{B}\theta^0(T) &= \int_0^\infty [w^1(x, 0) - w^0(x, 0)]c(x, 0) dx \\ &+ \int_0^T [\partial_x w^1(0, t) - \partial_x w^0(0, t)] \exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \partial_x w^0(0, t) (\exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] - \exp[-V(0) + \theta^0(t)W(0)]) dt \end{aligned}$$

Well-posedness: Fixed point argument

Basic properties of adjoint problem for a continuous function θ with $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq \theta_\infty$:

- Let $w_0(x) = W(x)$ then for any $T < T_0$

$$w(x, 0) \leq C(T_0, \theta_\infty)W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \partial_x w(0, t) \leq \frac{C(T_0, \theta_\infty)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

- Let w^0 and w^1 two such solutions for θ^0 and θ^1 , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |w^1(x, t) - w^0(x, t)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty \sqrt{T-t} W(x) \\ |\partial_x w^1(x, T) - \partial_x w^0(x, T)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point map

$$\mathcal{B}\theta(t) := \rho - \int_0^\infty W(x)c(x, t) dx$$

From the weak formulation follows the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\theta^1(T) - \mathcal{B}\theta^0(T) &= \int_0^\infty [w^1(x, 0) - w^0(x, 0)]c(x, 0) dx \\ &+ \int_0^T [\partial_x w^1(0, t) - \partial_x w^0(0, t)] \exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \partial_x w^0(0, t) (\exp[-V(0) + \theta^1(t)W(0)] - \exp[-V(0) + \theta^0(t)W(0)]) dt \end{aligned}$$

Well-posedness: Fixed point argument

Basic properties of adjoint problem for a continuous function θ with $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq \theta_\infty$:

- Let $w_0(x) = W(x)$ then for any $T < T_0$

$$w(x, 0) \leq C(T_0, \theta_\infty)W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \partial_x w(0, t) \leq \frac{C(T_0, \theta_\infty)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

- Let w^0 and w^1 two such solutions for θ^0 and θ^1 , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |w^1(x, t) - w^0(x, t)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty \sqrt{T-t} W(x) \\ |\partial_x w^1(x, T) - \partial_x w^0(x, T)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point map

$$\mathcal{B}\theta(t) := \rho - \int_0^\infty W(x)c(x, t) dx$$

From the weak formulation follows the identity and bound

$$\mathcal{B}\theta_1(T) - \mathcal{B}\theta_2(T) = \dots \leq C\sqrt{T}\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty.$$

⇒ **Local existence** for some $T = T(\theta_\infty) > 0$ and $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Refined analysis of $\partial_x w(0, t)$ yields uniform lower bound $\theta(t) > -\infty$

⇒ **Global existence** by iteration of local existence argument

Well-posedness: Fixed point argument

Basic properties of adjoint problem for a continuous function θ with $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq \theta_\infty$:

- Let $w_0(x) = W(x)$ then for any $T < T_0$

$$w(x, 0) \leq C(T_0, \theta_\infty)W(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \partial_x w(0, t) \leq \frac{C(T_0, \theta_\infty)}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

- Let w^0 and w^1 two such solutions for θ^0 and θ^1 , respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} |w^1(x, t) - w^0(x, t)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty \sqrt{T-t} W(x) \\ |\partial_x w^1(x, T) - \partial_x w^0(x, T)| &\leq C\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed point map

$$\mathcal{B}\theta(t) := \rho - \int_0^\infty W(x)c(x, t) dx$$

From the weak formulation follows the identity and bound

$$\mathcal{B}\theta_1(T) - \mathcal{B}\theta_2(T) = \dots \leq C\sqrt{T}\|\theta^1 - \theta^0\|_\infty.$$

⇒ **Local existence** for some $T = T(\theta_\infty) > 0$ and $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Refined analysis of $\partial_x w(0, t)$ yields uniform lower bound $\theta(t) > -\infty$

⇒ **Global existence** by iteration of local existence argument

Fixed point argument yields $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Two observations:

1. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map gains 1/2 Hölder regularity (Schauder estimate):

$$\theta \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow t \mapsto \int_0^t \partial_x c(0, s) ds \in C^{0,\alpha+1/2^-}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$$

2. $\dot{\theta}$ and $\partial_x c(0, \cdot)$ have the same regularity:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}(t) &= - \int W \partial_t c \, dx = W(0) \partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_{\theta(t)}} \Big|_{x=0} + \text{bulk} \\ &= W(0) \partial_x c(0, t) - (W(0)b(0, t) + W'(0)) c_{\theta(t)}(0) + \text{bulk}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{formally } |\dot{\theta}(t) - W(0) \partial_x c(0, t)| \leq C.$$

Iterate three times: $\theta \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $c(t, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$.

Fixed point argument yields $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Two observations:

1. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map gains 1/2 Hölder regularity (Schauder estimate):

$$\theta \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow t \mapsto \int_0^t \partial_x c(0, s) ds \in C^{0,\alpha+1/2^-}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$$

2. $\dot{\theta}$ and $\partial_x c(0, \cdot)$ have the same regularity:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\theta}(t) &= - \int W \partial_t c \, dx = W(0) \partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_{\theta(t)}} \Big|_{x=0} + \text{bulk} \\ &= W(0) \partial_x c(0, t) - (W(0)b(0, t) + W'(0)) c_{\theta(t)}(0) + \text{bulk} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{formally } |\dot{\theta}(t) - W(0) \partial_x c(0, t)| \leq C.\end{aligned}$$

Iterate three times: $\theta \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $c(t, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$.

Fixed point argument yields $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Two observations:

1. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map gains $1/2$ Hölder regularity (Schauder estimate):

$$\theta \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t \mapsto \int_0^t \partial_x c(0, s) \, ds \in C^{0,\alpha+1/2^-}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$$

2. $\dot{\theta}$ and $\partial_x c(0, \cdot)$ have the same regularity:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}(t) &= - \int W \partial_t c \, dx = W(0) \partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_{\theta(t)}} \Big|_{x=0} + \text{bulk} \\ &= W(0) \partial_x c(0, t) - (W(0)b(0, t) + W'(0)) c_{\theta(t)}(0) + \text{bulk} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{formally } |\dot{\theta}(t) - W(0) \partial_x c(0, t)| \leq C.$$

Iterate three times: $\theta \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $c(t, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$.

Fixed point argument yields $\theta \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$

Two observations:

1. Dirichlet-to-Neumann map gains 1/2 Hölder regularity (Schauder estimate):

$$\theta \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t \mapsto \int_0^t \partial_x c(0, s) \, ds \in C^{0,\alpha+1/2^-}([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$$

2. $\dot{\theta}$ and $\partial_x c(0, \cdot)$ have the same regularity:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\theta}(t) &= - \int W \partial_t c \, dx = W(0) \partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_{\theta(t)}} \Big|_{x=0} + \text{bulk} \\ &= W(0) \partial_x c(0, t) - (W(0)b(0, t) + W'(0)) c_{\theta(t)}(0) + \text{bulk} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{formally } |\dot{\theta}(t) - W(0) \partial_x c(0, t)| \leq C.$$

Iterate three times: $\theta \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $c(t, \cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$.

3. Energy-dissipation, gradient structure and longtime behaviour

Regularity results allow to establish the **energy–energy-dissipation inequality**:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \leq -\mathcal{D}(c(t), \theta(t)) \quad (\text{EED})$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}(c) := \int (\log c - 1)c + \int (V + \log a)c + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \theta := \rho - \int Wc$$

$$\mathcal{D}(c) := \int a \left(\partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_\theta} \right)^2 c \quad \text{with} \quad c_\theta(x) := a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$$

The term $\frac{1}{2}\theta^2$ is typical for free energies of **interaction type** (McKean-Vlasov):

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \iint \underbrace{W(x)W(y)}_{=K(x,y)} c(x)c(y) + \rho(\rho - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$$

The (EED) and equation in the form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \frac{c(0, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(0)} = 0$$

suggest a gradient flow formulation wrt. **a -weighted transportation metric**.

Regularity results allow to establish the **energy–energy-dissipation inequality**:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \leq -\mathcal{D}(c(t), \theta(t)) \quad (\text{EED})$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}(c) := \int (\log c - 1)c + \int (V + \log a)c + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \theta := \rho - \int Wc$$

$$\mathcal{D}(c) := \int a \left(\partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_\theta} \right)^2 c \quad \text{with} \quad c_\theta(x) := a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$$

The term $\frac{1}{2}\theta^2$ is typical for free energies of **interaction type** (McKean-Vlasov):

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \iint \underbrace{W(x)W(y)}_{=K(x,y)} c(x)c(y) + \rho(\rho - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$$

The (EED) and equation in the form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \frac{c(0, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(0)} = 0$$

suggest a gradient flow formulation wrt. **a -weighted transportation metric**.

Regularity results allow to establish the **energy–energy-dissipation inequality**:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \leq -\mathcal{D}(c(t), \theta(t)) \quad (\text{EED})$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}(c) := \int (\log c - 1)c + \int (V + \log a)c + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \theta := \rho - \int Wc$$

$$\mathcal{D}(c) := \int a \left(\partial_x \log \frac{c}{c_\theta} \right)^2 c \quad \text{with} \quad c_\theta(x) := a(x)^{-1} \exp(-V(x) + \theta W(x))$$

The term $\frac{1}{2}\theta^2$ is typical for free energies of **interaction type** (McKean-Vlasov):

$$\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \iint \underbrace{W(x)W(y)}_{=K(x,y)} c(x)c(y) + \rho(\rho - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2$$

The (EED) and equation in the form

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = \partial_x \left(a(x) c(x, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(x, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(x)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \frac{c(0, t)}{c_{\theta(t)}(0)} = 0$$

suggest a gradient flow formulation wrt. **a -weighted transportation metric**.

State space including **constraint**

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c, \theta) : \int W(x)c(x) dx = \rho - \theta, c(0) = c_\theta(0) \right\}$$

Define Sobolev space $H_0^1(\nu)$ as closure of $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R})$: $\varphi(0) = 0$

$$\text{with respect to } \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\nu)}^2 = \int a(x)|\partial_x \varphi|^2 d\nu$$

Define operator $K[\nu] : H_0^1(\nu) \rightarrow (H_0^1(\nu))^*$ by

$$K[\nu]\varphi = -\partial_x(a c \partial_x \varphi)$$

Then K is linear, nonnegative definite and defines metric

$$\psi, \varphi \in H_0^1(\nu) : \quad \langle \psi, K[\nu]\varphi \rangle = \int a \partial_x \psi \partial_x \varphi d\nu.$$

First variation of $\mathcal{F}(c) : x \mapsto \log \frac{c(x,t)}{c_\theta(x)}$ **satisfies boundary condition** and hence

$$\partial_t c(x,t) = -K[c(\cdot, t)]D\mathcal{F}(c) = \partial_x \left(a(\cdot)c(\cdot, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(\cdot, t)}{c_\theta(t)(\cdot)} \right).$$

State space including **constraint**

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c, \theta) : \int W(x)c(x) dx = \rho - \theta, c(0) = c_\theta(0) \right\}$$

Define Sobolev space $H_0^1(\nu)$ as closure of $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R})$: $\varphi(0) = 0$

$$\text{with respect to} \quad \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\nu)}^2 = \int a(x)|\partial_x \varphi|^2 d\nu$$

Define operator $K[\nu] : H_0^1(\nu) \rightarrow (H_0^1(\nu))^*$ by

$$K[\nu]\varphi = -\partial_x(a c \partial_x \varphi)$$

Then K is linear, nonnegative definite and defines metric

$$\psi, \varphi \in H_0^1(\nu) : \quad \langle \psi, K[\nu]\varphi \rangle = \int a \partial_x \psi \partial_x \varphi d\nu.$$

First variation of $\mathcal{F}(c) : x \mapsto \log \frac{c(x,t)}{c_\theta(x)}$ **satisfies boundary condition** and hence

$$\partial_t c(x,t) = -K[c(\cdot, t)]D\mathcal{F}(c) = \partial_x \left(a(\cdot)c(\cdot, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(\cdot, t)}{c_\theta(t)(\cdot)} \right).$$

State space including **constraint**

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c, \theta) : \int W(x)c(x) dx = \rho - \theta, c(0) = c_\theta(0) \right\}$$

Define Sobolev space $H_0^1(\nu)$ as closure of $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R})$: $\varphi(0) = 0$

$$\text{with respect to} \quad \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\nu)}^2 = \int a(x)|\partial_x \varphi|^2 d\nu$$

Define operator $K[\nu] : H_0^1(\nu) \rightarrow (H_0^1(\nu))^*$ by

$$K[\nu]\varphi = -\partial_x(a c \partial_x \varphi)$$

Then K is linear, nonnegative definite and defines metric

$$\psi, \varphi \in H_0^1(\nu) : \quad \langle \psi, K[\nu]\varphi \rangle = \int a \partial_x \psi \partial_x \varphi d\nu.$$

First variation of $\mathcal{F}(c) : x \mapsto \log \frac{c(x,t)}{c_\theta(x)}$ satisfies boundary condition and hence

$$\partial_t c(x,t) = -K[c(\cdot, t)]D\mathcal{F}(c) = \partial_x \left(a(\cdot)c(\cdot, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(\cdot, t)}{c_\theta(t)(\cdot)} \right).$$

State space including **constraint**

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ (c, \theta) : \int W(x)c(x) dx = \rho - \theta, c(0) = c_\theta(0) \right\}$$

Define Sobolev space $H_0^1(\nu)$ as closure of $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R})$: $\varphi(0) = 0$

$$\text{with respect to } \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\nu)}^2 = \int a(x)|\partial_x \varphi|^2 d\nu$$

Define operator $K[\nu] : H_0^1(\nu) \rightarrow (H_0^1(\nu))^*$ by

$$K[\nu]\varphi = -\partial_x(a c \partial_x \varphi)$$

Then K is linear, nonnegative definite and defines metric

$$\psi, \varphi \in H_0^1(\nu) : \quad \langle \psi, K[\nu]\varphi \rangle = \int a \partial_x \psi \partial_x \varphi d\nu.$$

First variation of $\mathcal{F}(c) : x \mapsto \log \frac{c(x,t)}{c_\theta(x)}$ **satisfies boundary condition** and hence

$$\partial_t c(x, t) = -K[c(\cdot, t)]D\mathcal{F}(c) = \partial_x \left(a(\cdot)c(\cdot, t) \partial_x \log \frac{c(\cdot, t)}{c_\theta(t)(\cdot)} \right).$$

Theorem (Convergence to equilibrium)

For any $L > 0$ the solution $c(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly on the interval $[0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium $c_\theta(\cdot)$ with $\theta = \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$. If $\rho \leq \rho_s$ then also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty W(x) |c(x, t) - c_\theta(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof (Sketch):

- EED $\dot{\mathcal{F}} \leq -\mathcal{D}$ and lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D}
 \Rightarrow LaSalle's invariance principle: $c \rightarrow c_{\theta_\infty}$ for some $\theta_\infty \leq 0$
- Global existence: $\inf_{t > 0} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \geq \mathcal{F}(c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}}(\rho))$
and monotonicity of $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{F}(c_\theta)$ yields $\theta_\infty \leq \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$
- "Tightness" argument to pass to the limit in

$$\theta(t) + \int W c_{\theta(t)} \rightarrow \theta_\infty + \int W c_{\theta_\infty}$$

and to conclude $\theta_\infty = \theta_{\text{eq}}$

Theorem (Convergence to equilibrium)

For any $L > 0$ the solution $c(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly on the interval $[0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium $c_\theta(\cdot)$ with $\theta = \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$. If $\rho \leq \rho_s$ then also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty W(x) |c(x, t) - c_\theta(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof (Sketch):

- EED $\dot{\mathcal{F}} \leq -\mathcal{D}$ and lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D}
 \Rightarrow LaSalle's invariance principle: $c \rightarrow c_{\theta_\infty}$ for some $\theta_\infty \leq 0$
- Global existence: $\inf_{t>0} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \geq \mathcal{F}(c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)})$
and monotonicity of $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{F}(c_\theta)$ yields $\theta_\infty \leq \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$
- "Tightness" argument to pass to the limit in

$$\theta(t) + \int W c_{\theta(t)} \rightarrow \theta_\infty + \int W c_{\theta_\infty}$$

and to conclude $\theta_\infty = \theta_{\text{eq}}$

Theorem (Convergence to equilibrium)

For any $L > 0$ the solution $c(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly on the interval $[0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium $c_\theta(\cdot)$ with $\theta = \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$. If $\rho \leq \rho_s$ then also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty W(x) |c(x, t) - c_\theta(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof (Sketch):

- EED $\dot{\mathcal{F}} \leq -\mathcal{D}$ and lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D}
 \Rightarrow LaSalle's invariance principle: $c \rightarrow c_{\theta_\infty}$ for some $\theta_\infty \leq 0$
- Global existence: $\inf_{t>0} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \geq \mathcal{F}(c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)})$
and monotonicity of $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{F}(c_\theta)$ yields $\theta_\infty \leq \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$
- "Tightness" argument to pass to the limit in

$$\theta(t) + \int W c_{\theta(t)} \rightarrow \theta_\infty + \int W c_{\theta_\infty}$$

and to conclude $\theta_\infty = \theta_{\text{eq}}$

Theorem (Convergence to equilibrium)

For any $L > 0$ the solution $c(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly on the interval $[0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium $c_\theta(\cdot)$ with $\theta = \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$. If $\rho \leq \rho_s$ then also

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty W(x) |c(x, t) - c_\theta(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof (Sketch):

- EED $\dot{\mathcal{F}} \leq -\mathcal{D}$ and lower semicontinuity of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D}
 \Rightarrow LaSalle's invariance principle: $c \rightarrow c_{\theta_\infty}$ for some $\theta_\infty \leq 0$
- Global existence: $\inf_{t>0} \mathcal{F}(c(t)) \geq \mathcal{F}(c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)})$
and monotonicity of $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{F}(c_\theta)$ yields $\theta_\infty \leq \theta_{\text{eq}}(\rho)$
- "Tightness" argument to pass to the limit in

$$\theta(t) + \int W c_{\theta(t)} \rightarrow \theta_\infty + \int W c_{\theta_\infty}$$

and to conclude $\theta_\infty = \theta_{\text{eq}}$

4. Rate of convergence to equilibrium (subcritical)

For $\rho < \rho_s$ the minimizer of

$$\mathcal{F}_0(\rho) := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{F}(c) : \theta + \int Wc = \rho \right\}$$

is attained.

⇒ **normalized free energy**: $\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) := \mathcal{F}(c) - \mathcal{F}_0(\rho)$

Relative entropy identities

Let $\rho < \rho_s$, then for all $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) = \mathcal{H}(c|c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\text{eq}})^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \theta = \rho - \int Wc,$$

where $\mathcal{H}(f|g) := \int g \Psi\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)$ with $\Psi(r) := r \log r - r + 1$.

For any $\theta < 0$ and any $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\text{eq}})^2 \leq \mathcal{H}(c|c_\theta).$$

For $\rho < \rho_s$ the minimizer of

$$\mathcal{F}_0(\rho) := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{F}(c) : \theta + \int Wc = \rho \right\}$$

is attained.

⇒ **normalized free energy**: $\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) := \mathcal{F}(c) - \mathcal{F}_0(\rho)$

Relative entropy identities

Let $\rho < \rho_s$, then for all $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) = \mathcal{H}(c|c_{\theta_{\text{eq}}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\text{eq}})^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \theta = \rho - \int Wc,$$

where $\mathcal{H}(f|g) := \int g \Psi\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)$ with $\Psi(r) := r \log r - r + 1$.

For any $\theta < 0$ and any $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_{\text{eq}})^2 \leq \mathcal{H}(c|c_\theta).$$

Weighted log-Sobolev inequalities

Let $\delta > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$, such that for all c with $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$ and $-1/\delta \leq \theta \leq -\delta$ as well as $c(0) = c_\theta(0)$ holds

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{a}{W} c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right) \leq C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta).$$

Weighted log-Sobolev inequalities

Let $\delta > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$, such that for all c with $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$ and $-1/\delta \leq \theta \leq -\delta$ as well as $c(0) = c_\theta(0)$ holds

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{a}{W} c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right) \leq C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta).$$

Proof: Modify argument due to [Bobkov& Götze 99]: Derive log-Sobolev inequality as Poincaré type inequality in Orlicz-spaces:

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and let A be the smallest constant such that for any smooth f on \mathbb{R}^+ with $f(0) = 1$ holds

$$\text{Ent}_\nu(f) := \int f \log \frac{f}{\int f d\nu} d\nu \leq A \int |\partial_x \log f|^2 f d\mu.$$

Then, $B/4 \leq A \leq B$ with $B := \sup_{x>0} \nu([x, \infty]) \log\left(1 + \frac{e^2}{\nu([x, \infty])}\right) \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\mu(y)}$

Weighted log-Sobolev inequalities

Let $\delta > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$, such that for all c with $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$ and $-1/\delta \leq \theta \leq -\delta$ as well as $c(0) = c_\theta(0)$ holds

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{a}{W} c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right) \leq C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta).$$

Proof: Modify argument due to [Bobkov& Götze 99]: Derive log-Sobolev inequality as Poincaré type inequality in Orlicz-spaces:

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and let A be the smallest constant such that for any smooth f on \mathbb{R}^+ with $f(0) = 1$ holds

$$\text{Ent}_\nu(f) := \int f \log \frac{f}{\int f d\nu} d\nu \leq A \int |\partial_x \log f|^2 f d\mu.$$

Then, $B/4 \leq A \leq B$ with $B := \sup_{x>0} \nu([x, \infty]) \log\left(1 + \frac{e^2}{\nu([x, \infty])}\right) \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\mu(y)}$

Free energy-dissipation-estimate

Via interpolation for $k > 0$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) \leq \mathcal{H}(c|c_\theta) = \int c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right) \leq \underbrace{\left(\int \frac{a}{W} c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}_{\text{weighted LSI}} \underbrace{\left(\int \left(\frac{W}{a}\right)^k c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}_{\text{assume}}$$

Free energy-dissipation-estimate

Let $\rho < \rho_s$, $\delta > 0$ and $k > 0$. Let $-1/\delta \leq \theta \leq -\delta$. Then, for any $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$, $c(0) = c_\theta(0)$ and

$$\left(\int \left(\frac{W}{a}\right)^k c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq C_0$$

there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c)^{\frac{1+k}{k}} \leq C_0 C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta).$$

In particular, if a has the same growth as W at ∞ , then there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) \leq C_0 C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta)$.

Free energy-dissipation-estimate

Via interpolation for $k > 0$

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) \leq \mathcal{H}(c|c_\theta) = \int c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right) \leq \underbrace{\left(\int \frac{a}{W} c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}_{\text{weighted LSI}} \underbrace{\left(\int \left(\frac{W}{a}\right)^k c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}_{\text{assume}}$$

Free energy-dissipation-estimate

Let $\rho < \rho_s$, $\delta > 0$ and $k > 0$. Let $-1/\delta \leq \theta \leq -\delta$. Then, for any $c \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\theta + \int Wc = \rho$, $c(0) = c_\theta(0)$ and

$$\left(\int \left(\frac{W}{a}\right)^k c_\theta \Psi\left(\frac{c}{c_\theta}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq C_0$$

there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(c)^{\frac{1+k}{k}} \leq C_0 C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta).$$

In particular, if a has the same growth as W at ∞ , then there exists a constant $C_{\text{LSI}} = C_{\text{LSI}}(V, W, a, \delta)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(c) \leq C_0 C_{\text{LSI}} \mathcal{D}(c, \theta)$.

Quantified rate of convergence

Further ingredients:

- Lower dissipation bound: $\mathcal{D}(c, \theta) \geq \varepsilon > 0$, whenever $\theta \geq \theta_{\text{eq}} + \delta$
- Propagation of moment bound:

Whenever $\int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(0, x) dx \leq C_0$ for $k > 0$, then for any $t_0 > 0$ and $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ holds

$$\sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \left(\|c(t, \cdot)\|_{\infty} + \int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(t, x) dx \right) \leq C,$$

where C is uniform on time-intervals $\forall t \in [t_1, t_2] : -\delta^{-1} \leq \theta(t) \leq -\delta$.

Theorem (Rate of convergence in subcritical regime)

Let $\rho < \rho_s$ and let the initial condition $c(\cdot, 0)$ satisfy for some $k > 0$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c) \leq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(x, 0) dx \right) \leq C_0$$

then there exists λ and C such that $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c(t)) \leq \frac{1}{(C+\lambda t)^k}$.

In particular, if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{W(x)}{a(x)} \leq C_0$, then for any initial data $\int W(x)c(x, 0) dx < \infty$ there exists $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c(t)) \leq C e^{-\lambda t}$.

Quantified rate of convergence

Further ingredients:

- **Lower dissipation bound:** $\mathcal{D}(c, \theta) \geq \varepsilon > 0$, whenever $\theta \geq \theta_{\text{eq}} + \delta$
- **Propagation of moment bound:**

Whenever $\int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(0, x) dx \leq C_0$ for $k > 0$, then for any $t_0 > 0$ and $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ holds

$$\sup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} \left(\|c(t, \cdot)\|_{\infty} + \int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(t, x) dx \right) \leq C,$$

where C is uniform on time-intervals $\forall t \in [t_1, t_2] : -\delta^{-1} \leq \theta(t) \leq -\delta$.

Theorem (Rate of convergence in subcritical regime)

Let $\rho < \rho_s$ and let the initial condition $c(\cdot, 0)$ satisfy for some $k > 0$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c) \leq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int \frac{W^{k+1}}{a^k} c(x, 0) dx \right) \leq C_0$$

then there exists λ and C such that $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c(t)) \leq \frac{1}{(C+\lambda t)^k}$.

In particular, if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{W(x)}{a(x)} \leq C_0$, then for any initial data $\int W(x)c(x, 0) dx < \infty$ there exists $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(c(t)) \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$.

Summary

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

- dynamic model of condensation/dissolution of droplets
- non-local Fokker-Planck equation including boundary conditions
- local existence via fixed point argument
- improved regularity by Schauder estimate
- Gradient flow structure with boundary condition
- qualitative convergence to equilibrium via entropy-dissipation-identity
- rate of convergence (subcritical) via modified entropy method based on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and interpolation

Open questions

- supercritical behaviour: description by coarsening equations (LSW) similar to the Becker-Döring equation [Niethammer '03, S. '16]
- nucleation: timescales of (dynamic) metastable states

Thank you for your attention!